

ID: CCA_2025031917233400

[Third Party Communication:

UILC: 6223.00-00

Date of Communication: Month DD, YYYY]

Number: **202547015**

Release Date: 11/21/2025

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2025 5:23:58 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Seeking advice regarding: BBA Question regarding "Authorized Person" with regard to Form 9979, Partnership Representative Revocation, Designation, and Resignation

1. Whether the Form 9979 for [REDACTED] was valid.

Yes. Treas. Reg. § 301.6223-1(e)(4) provides that a revocation of a PR “must be signed by a person who was a partner at any time during the partnership taxable year to which the revocation relates *or as provided in forms, instructions, and other guidance* prescribed by the IRS.” [REDACTED] signed the Form 9979 revoking [REDACTED] as PR and designating himself as the new PR. [REDACTED] was not a partner during the [REDACTED] taxable year at issue. However, the instructions to Form 9979 state that the person signing declares under the penalty of perjury that they are authorized by the partnership to revoke the designation of the current PR and to make a successive designation of a new PR. Therefore, if [REDACTED] was authorized by [REDACTED] to make the revocation and designation at the time of signing the Form 9979, the revocation and designation were valid.

[REDACTED] amended its Operating Agreement on [REDACTED], to appoint [REDACTED] as a manager of the company. [REDACTED] of the agreement provides that

The agreement specifically authorizes the manager to

[REDACTED] signed the Form 9979 on [REDACTED]. Revoking [REDACTED] as PR and designating a new PR was necessary for [REDACTED] since the former PR was deceased. Therefore, the revocation was valid, and under Treas. Reg. § 301.6223-1(e)(3) the revocation was “effective upon the IRS's receipt” of the notification on [REDACTED].

2. Whether the Forms 872-M for [REDACTED] are valid (because they are signed by [REDACTED] as PR).

Yes. The period of limitations for _____ tax year ran through _____.
 The Form 872-M extending the period of limitations to _____, was signed
 by _____, the valid PR, on _____. A second Form 872-M extending the
 period of limitations to _____, was signed by _____, the valid PR, on _____.
 Both these Forms are valid.

**3. Whether the Forms 2848 for _____ are valid (because they are signed by
 as PR).**

Yes. A Form 2848 signed by _____ as PR was received on _____.
 Subsequent Forms 2848 were also signed by _____ as PR. As discussed above,
 _____ was designated as PR effective _____. Therefore, the Forms
 2848 are valid.

Let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss.

Best,
